

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|   | ······································ |                      |                     |                  |
|---|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/708,042  | 02/04/2004                             | Di-Jia Liu           | 19441-0058          | 2041             |
| 29052 7590 01/09/2007<br>SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP<br>999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.<br>ATLANTA, GA 30309 |  |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |  | ,                    | DOVE, TRACY MAE     |                  |
|   |  | •                    | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |  |                      | 1745                | <u> </u>         |
|   |  |                      |                     |                  |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR  | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE                   | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |
| 31 DAVS   |  | 01/09/2007           | DADED               |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| ·  | 10/708,042  | LIU ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tracy Dove  | 1745   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEL | . the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe   | ebruary 2004.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This   | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 33 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or   | 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   | 1  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce  |   | Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary  | (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da   | ate  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>   | 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:   | atent Application  |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a solid oxide fuel cell, classified in class 429, subclass 30.
- II. Claims 13-14, drawn to a catalyzed anodic interconnect, classified in class 429, subclass 40.
- III. Claims 15-16, drawn to a method of operating a solid oxide fuel cell, classified in class 429, subclass 13.
- IV. Claims 17-20, drawn to a method for making a catalyzed anodic interconnect, classified in class 429, subclass 12.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an interconnect for fuel cells other than solid oxide fuel cells and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/708,042

Art Unit: 1745

§ 806.05(h). In the instant case the product may be used to practice a materially different method.

Inventions I and IV are directed to an unrelated product and process. Product and process inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that the product cannot be used in, or made by, the process. See MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06. In the instant case, the solid oxide fuel cell cannot be made by the method for making the interconnect.

Inventions II and III are directed to an unrelated product and process. Product and process inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that the product cannot be used in, or made by, the process. See MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06. In the instant case, the interconnect cannot be made by the process of operating the solid oxide fuel cell.

Inventions II and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product may be made by another materially different process.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operation.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the

inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to multiple groups being restricted.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 1745

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Dove whose telephone number is 571-272-1285. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00-7:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

January 4, 2007

TRACY DOVE